EXHIBIT TT

REDACTED PUBLIC VERSION

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SAN JOSE DIVISION
4	000
5	IN RE: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE
6	ANTITRUST LITIGATION,
7	
8	Case No. 11-CV-2509-LHK
9	/
10	
11	
12	DEPOSITION OF STEVEN H. CONDIOTTI
13	Wednesday, March 20, 2013
14	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
15	
16	REPORTED BY: HOLLY MOOSE, RDR-CRR-CRP, CSR NO. 6438
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Deposition of			LHK Document 802-20 Filed 04/10/14 Page 3 of 30 In Re: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION
09:47	1	Q.	Mr. Condiotti, I'm handing you an exhibit
	2	that was	previously marked Exhibit 944 to the
	3	deposition	on of Micheline Chau.
	4		THE REPORTER: To the deposition of
09:47	5		MS. LEEBOVE: Micheline Chau.
	6		THE REPORTER: Oh. Thank you.
	7		MS. LEEBOVE: C-H-A-W or C-H-A-U.
	8	Although	that's probably not the one you need the
	9	spelling	for.
09:47	10		THE REPORTER: That's fine.
	11		MS. LEEBOVE: Q. Have you had a chance to
	12	review Ex	xhibit 944?
	13	Α.	Yes.
	14	Q.	Do you recognize this document?
09:48	15	Α.	No.
	16	Q.	Does that mean you did not prepare it?
	17		MR. PAIGE: Object to the form.
	18		THE WITNESS: I I don't recall.
	19		MS. LEEBOVE: Q. Do you know who did
09:48	20	prepare t	this document?
	21	A.	I do not.
	22	Q.	What does it appear to be to you?

- 09:49 25
- Q. Do you know what -- if you turn to page 4

It appears to be a report on the global

23

24

Α.

compensation project.

09:49	1	of Exhibit 944, it's the page that says at the top,
	2	"Pay For Performance Total Compensation Strategies."
	3	There's a reference on the left-hand side
	4	of the page to "global alignment across divisions."
09:50	5	And I'm wondering if you know what that refers to.
	6	A. I do not.
	7	Q. Do you have any idea just because I
	8	think just because I don't. But also at the top
	9	of that page 4, it says in parentheses, right under
09:50	10	the header "Strawman," "To be developed by executive
	11	team" or "exec team."
	12	Do you have any idea what that's referring
	13	to?
	14	A. I do not.
09:51	15	Q. Do you know whether there's ever been a
	16	situation where Lucasfilm believed it had to
	17	increase salaries for particular job categories in
	18	order to prevent attrition?
	19	A. I do.
09:51	20	Q. Can you tell me about that.
	21	A. There was a point in time where the Bay
	22	Area job market had gotten very hot, and we moved
	23	our targets from to address
	24	that.
09:51	25	Q. And is that you moved your what do you

09:51	1	mean by you moved your targets from the
	2	?
	3	A. So we targeted within the range of a job to
	4	be the median at And for certain
09:51	5	positions, mainly in the tech and creative world, we
	6	moved that target to the
	7	Q. Do you know when that happened?
	8	A. I don't know exactly when.
	9	Q. I believe Ms. Maupin refers to that in her
09:52	10	declaration as well, and I believe she mentions that
	11	it happened in 2000
	12	A. She says approximately 2007 through 2008.
	13	Q. And do you agree with her in that?
	14	A. I I would have Michelle is the one
09:52	15	who would know that.
	16	Q. Okay. Were you part of the discussions
	17	about assuming there were discussions about
	18	increasing the target from the
	19	3
09:52	20	A. I was.
	21	Q. And what was did you concur in the
	22	decision to raise the to raise the target from
	23	?
	24	A. I did.
09:52	25	Q. And what was your what were what was

09:52	1	your position
	2	MR. PAIGE: Object to the form.
	3	MS. LEEBOVE: Q about that increase in
	4	the target?
09:53	5	MR. PAIGE: Object to the form.
	6	THE WITNESS: Can you rephrase that.
	7	MS. LEEBOVE: Sure.
	8	THE WITNESS: I'm not quite sure I
	9	understand what you're looking for.
09:53	10	MS. LEEBOVE: Q. Why did you believe that
	11	the that Lucasfilm should target the
	12	for certain jobs, as opposed to
	13	the ?
	14	A. 'Cause there were some key positions where
09:53	15	we were losing employees to other firms.
	16	Q. Do you know what the expense is associated
	17	with losing an employee?
	18	A. I do not know an exact number.
	19	Q. Have you ever estimated, as a percentage of
09:53	20	an employee's base salary, how much it costs to
	21	replace an employee?
	22	A. No, I have not.
	23	Q. Why does why did Lucasfilm not want to
	24	lose employees?
09:54	25	MR. PAIGE: Object to the form.

Deposition of S		Condiotti In Re: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION
09:54	1	MS. LEEBOVE: Q. Around well, when
	2	Lucasfilm decided to raise its salary target from
	3	the for certain
	4	employees in order to prevent attrition, why
09:54	5	well, why was why was Lucasfilm interested in
	6	preventing attrition?
	7	MR. PAIGE: Object to the form.
	8	THE WITNESS: We were looking to prevent
	9	attrition because it can be disruptive at times.
09:54	10	MS. LEEBOVE: Q. Is there any other reason
	11	why Lucasfilm would want to prevent attrition?
	12	MR. PAIGE: Object to the form.
	13	THE WITNESS: I'm sure there are other
	14	reasons, but I could not tell you off the top of my
09:54	15	head.
	16	MS. LEEBOVE: Q. Are any of the reasons
	17	why Lucasfilm would want to prevent attrition
	18	financially based?
	19	MR. PAIGE: Object to the form.
09:55	20	THE WITNESS: Yes, I believe they are.
	21	MS. LEEBOVE: Q. Has Lucasfilm since
	22	reduced its salary target from the
	23	back to the ?
	24	A. We have.
09:55	25	Q. Is that for all jobs?

Deposition of Steven H. (In Re: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION
09:57 1	Α.	No.
2	Q.	When does the compensation committee not
3	have to	become involved in an out-of-cycle raise?
4	Α.	I would have to yield that back to
09:57 5	Michelle	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6	Q.	Okay.
7		MS. LEEBOVE: Are we on Exhibit 2082?
8		THE REPORTER: 2081.
9		MS. LEEBOVE: Oh, in that case, please have
09:57 10	that mar	ked Exhibit 2081.
11		(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2081
12		marked for identification.)
13		(Discussion off the record.)
14		MS. LEEBOVE: Q. Have you had a chance to
10:00 15	review E	Exhibit 2081?
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	And just for the record, it's a document
18	two-side	d document bearing the Bates stamp
19	LUCAS002	18340 to -341.
10:00 20		Do you recognize this exhibit, 2081?
21	Α.	No.
22	Q.	What does it appear to be to you?
23	Α.	It appears to be an email regarding a
24	request	to change someone's compensation.
10:00 25	Q.	Do you have any reason to believe that

10:00	1	this that you weren't one of the recipients of
	2	each of the emails that are reflected on
	3	Exhibit 2081?
	4	A. No. I believe I probably saw this at the
10:00	5	time.
	6	Q. Okay. Having reviewed Exhibit 2081, what
	7	is your understanding of the reason why this this
	8	salary or why an increase is being
	9	sought for ?
10:01	10	A. Well, the original request was he was
	11	moving into the R&D quality group, so he was
	12	changing jobs.
	13	Q. And it appears that his salary had been
	14	increased from
10:01	15	A. Correct.
	16	Q when that when he switched jobs.
	17	A. That appears to say that, yes.
	18	Q. Okay. What do you understand or did you
	19	understand Michelle Maupin to be referring to?
10:02	20	A. In which one?
	21	Q. When she expresses:
	22	"Steve Sullivan is very concerned that
	23	will be making less than the new-hire
	24	and is the lead."
10:02	25	And I'm looking in particular at the

10:02	1	earliest-in-time message of this exhibit, from
	2	Tuesday, July 24th, 2007, at 10:16 a.m.
	3	A. Steve Sullivan was the department head.
	4	Q. Okay.
10:02	5	A. And it looks like they were going to have a
	6	new-hire in the department who could be making more
	7	than , who had the lead position in the
	8	department.
	9	Q. Do you see that as a problem, for a new
10:02	10	employee in a department to be making more than his
	11	or her lead?
	12	MR. PAIGE: Object to the form.
	13	THE WITNESS: Again, there's facts and
	14	circumstances around every position. And I don't
10:02	15	know what the facts are and what the job
	16	responsibilities and the training of the people in
	17	question are.
	18	MS. LEEBOVE: Q. Is this an example of an
	19	out-of of an out-of-cycle increase that's being
10:03	20	sought for ?
	21	A. Yes.
	22	Q. And so which type of out-of-cycle
	23	adjustment would you classify this as?
	24	A. Well, there appears to be two. He was
10:03	25	moving into a new position, and then his manager

10:03	1	asking for a second adjustment for some sort of
	2	change in market condition.
	3	Q. Which where do you see a reference to an
	4	adjustment being sought for based on a
10:04	5	change in market condition?
	6	A. The that they were hiring someone in at
	7	a at a higher rate.
	8	Q. Is it common for someone to be hired into a
	9	department at a higher rate than his or her lead?
10:04	10	MR. PAIGE: Object to the form.
	11	THE WITNESS: Again, there are many things
	12	that involve someone's compensation: the position
	13	that they're going into, their experience. And it's
	14	hard to say what the facts and circumstances around
10:04	15	this position were.
	16	MS. LEEBOVE: Q. Do you know whether, as a
	17	member of the compensation committee, you approved a
	18	salary increase for based on this
	19	correspondence?
10:05	20	A. I don't recall.
	21	Q. Do you know whether you asked for more
	22	information before making a decision?
	23	A. I don't recall.
	24	Q. Does it appear to you that the hiring of
10:05	25	on of another QA position, as it looks like was

10:05	1	happening does it appear to you that the hiring
	2	of this new person may be putting upward pressure on
	3	salary?
	4	A. Again, every employment situation is
10:05	5	different. It's truly based on the person's
	6	experience, the job requirements. I don't know what
	7	those facts are in this situation.
	8	Q. Would you have asked for more of those
	9	facts before approving a raise for ?
10:06	10	A. Possibly.
	11	Q. Was the information that you read here,
	12	that it appears you had in front of you on
	13	July 24th of 2007 was that insufficient
	14	information to decide whether to raise
10:06	15	3
	16	MR. PAIGE: Object to the form.
	17	THE WITNESS: Well, everything is not here,
	18	because you don't have the underlying spreadsheet
	19	that we would have been looking at.
10:06	20	MS. LEEBOVE: Q. And what would the
	21	underlying spreadsheet what sort of information
	22	would the underlying spreadsheet typically contain?
	23	A. I don't know in this specific case. But in
	24	most cases, when we get a comp request like this, it
10:06	25	would have the survey data and all of the internal

10:06	1	people that had were in similar positions.
	2	Q. What's the purpose of knowing the salaries
	3	of the or and when you say "information about
	4	the internal people in similar positions," do you
10:07	5	mean salary information?
	6	A. Yes.
	7	Q. What do what does anybody else's salary
	8	have to do with the setting of
	9	salary?
10:07	10	A. Again, if he's one of a number of people in
	11	a similar job, we're looking to see where he sits,
	12	as well as the others sit, within the pay range.
	13	Q. Does as a member of the compensation
	14	committee, do you want to see what people in similar
10:07	15	jobs within the pay range are making in order to pay
	16	employees who are doing similar jobs similarly?
	17	MR. PAIGE: Object to the form.
	18	THE WITNESS: Well, every person in every
	19	position is different and independent. And it's
10:08	20	just one of the things that we consider in looking
	21	at setting a compensation range for someone.
	22	MS. LEEBOVE: Q. You just mentioned that
	23	every employee is different and independent. Why
	24	did Lucasfilm set salary ranges and a job structure
10:08	25	in the first instance?

Case 5:11-cv-02509-LHK Document 802-20 Filed 04/10/14 Page 14 of 30

Deposition of S	Steven H. C	Condiotti In Re: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION
10:08	1	MR. PAIGE: Object to the form.
	2	THE WITNESS: Again, every you know, the
	3	facts and circumstances around every employee are
	4	different. But there are some things that play into
10:08	5	a decision, and one of them is the compensation
	6	structure around how each employee matches to
	7	market.
	8	MR. PAIGE: We've been going about an hour,
	9	so if you're moving to a new document, time for a
10:09	10	break?
	11	MS. LEEBOVE: Sure.
	12	MR. PAIGE: Thank you.
	13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of Video
	14	Number 1. The time is 10:09 a.m. We're going off
10:09	15	the record.
	16	(Recess taken.)
	17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning of
	18	Video Number 2 in the deposition of Steven
	19	Condiotti. The time is 11:23 [sic] a.m., and we're
10:23	20	back on the record.
	21	MS. LEEBOVE: May I please have this marked
	22	as Exhibit 2082, please.
	23	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2082
	24	marked for identification.)
10:23	25	MS. LEEBOVE: Q. Mr. Condiotti, you've
VD AMM COL	DT DEDO	DTING CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS! EVES ONLY Dogo: 42

10:40	1	two things: There's a request for hiring of a new
	2	person; and the second is a request for a change in
	3	compensation for .
	4	THE WITNESS: Do you mind if we can you
10:40	5	excuse me for a minute?
	6	MR. PAIGE: Sure.
	7	THE WITNESS: Sorry.
	8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:40.
	9	We're going off the record.
10:40	10	(Recess taken.)
	11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:50
	12	make that -51. We're back on the record.
	13	MR. PAIGE: And just to note, we went off
	14	the record 'cause Mr. Condiotti's got a bit of a
10:51	15	bug. And appreciate you allowing him to go use the
	16	rest room for a few minutes.
	17	MS. LEEBOVE: Oh, certainly.
	18	MR. PAIGE: So thank you. And sorry for
	19	the interruption.
10:52	20	MS. LEEBOVE: Sure. And if you I guess
	21	I I should ask you, do you are you feeling
	22	well enough to to give testimony today?
	23	THE WITNESS: Let's see how far we can get.
	24	MS. LEEBOVE: Okay. And if if if you
10:52	25	need to take a break, by all means

10:52	1	MR. PAIGE: Appreciate that. Thank you.
	2	MS. LEEBOVE: let me know.
	3	Q. I think we left off you were looking at
	4	Exhibit 2084.
10:52	5	A. Yes.
	6	Q. And I asked what does Exhibit 2084 appear
	7	to be to you.
	8	A. I think I said there were two things: We
	9	were looking to hire a new employee, and to do a
10:52	10	salary adjustment for .
	11	Q. And you had mentioned, with respect to one
	12	of our prior exhibits I believe that was
	13	Exhibit 2081 that you are that it was missing
	14	the attachments that would typically accompany a
10:53	15	request for an out-of-cycle adjustment.
	16	Does Exhibit 2084 appear to constitute a
	17	complete request, as you were accustomed to seeing
	18	them?
	19	A. Yes, other than the fact that the people
10:53	20	are missing. But yes.
	21	Q. Oh, do you mean that the names are
	22	redacted?
	23	A. Redacted, yes.
	24	Q. Okay. And so what was the basis for the
10:53	25	request for an out-of-cycle adjustment for

10:53	1	?
	2	A. It appears that he was looking to leave,
	3	and compensation was one of the reasons why.
	4	Q. And is it your understanding that Lori
10:54	5	is it Lori Gianino?
	6	A. Gianino, yes.
	7	Q. Who who is Lori Gianino?
	8	A. She's the director of IS, information
	9	systems.
10:54	10	Q. Is Lori Gianino still the director of IS?
	11	A. She is.
	12	Q. Is it your understanding that Lori was
	13	seeking a raise for, to prevent him from
	14	leaving the company?
10:54	15	A. She was.
	16	Q. And so why would you, as a member of the
	17	compensation committee, want to see what other
	18	folks what peers were making in
	19	order to decide whether to give him a salary
10:55	20	adjustment?
	21	A. Again, it gives us an ability to see who
	22	else is in a similar position and where they are
	23	within their range.
	24	Q. Is there a certain percentage of salary
10:55	25	amount well, let me back up.

10:55	1	Where an out-of-cycle adjustment is
	2	requested above a certain percentage of someone's
	3	salary, is there a cutoff, where it either has to go
	4	to where it has to go to the compensation
10:55	5	committee?
	6	A. I believe there is, but I don't know
	7	exactly where that cutoff is.
	8	Q. And do you see the second what appears
	9	to be the second-in-time or make that the
10:55	10	fourth-in-time email message from Vanessa Hall to
	11	Michelle Maupin, cc'ed to you? It's the one in the
	12	middle of that first page, dated Thursday,
	13	October 11, 2007.
	14	A. I don't believe I was cc'ed on that.
10:56	15	Q. Oh, you're absolutely right.
	16	The message states that that your
	17	that it was with your approval that Lori was
	18	pursuing an out-of-cycle adjustment for .
	19	A. That's what it says, yes.
10:56	20	Q. Do you know whether, in order for you to
	21	approve an out-of-cycle equity adjustment, would you
	22	have had to see the market data and the peer data
	23	A. Again, I don't
	24	Q for ?
10:56	25	A. I don't know the facts and circumstances

11:57	1	on?
	2	A. We look at a number of different factors.
	3	One is CPI. The other is the survey data and what
	4	other companies are doing with respect to merit and
11:57	5	adjustments.
	6	Q. What does CPI stand for?
	7	A. Consumer price index. Basically we look at
	8	inflation.
	9	Q. Has Lucasfilm, in your during your
11:57	10	tenure there, ever increased the salary budget
	11	midyear?
	12	A. I could not tell you one way or the other.
	13	Q. Have you ever had an experience where a
	14	manager wants to give a salary increase and there
11:58	15	isn't money left in the budget for him or her to do
	16	so?
	17	A. Yes.
	18	Q. And what happens in that circumstance, when
	19	a manager wants to give a salary increase but
11:58	20	doesn't have money in his or her budget to do so?
	21	A. Then we don't give the salary increase.
	22	Q. Has has a salary budget ever been
	23	increased in order to allow for additional salary
	24	increases
11:58	25	MR. PAIGE: Object to the form.

11:58	1	MS. LEEBOVE: Q beyond the budget that
	2	was initially set?
	3	A. I don't know off the top of my head if
	4	that's ever happened. But as a generality, we do
11:58	5	not move off of our salary budget.
	6	Q. Is it fair to say that Lucasfilm was
	7	willing to pay more money in response to
	8	a competitive offer from Google?
	9	A. Yes, it appears that we were willing to pay
11:59	10	him slightly more, based on an offer that he
	11	received.
	12	Q. This has already been marked as Exhibit
	13	354 or, Mr. Condiotti, I'm handing you an exhibit
	14	that's previously been marked Exhibit 354 to the
12:00	15	deposition of Sharon Coker.
	16	Please take a look and let me know when
	17	you've had a chance to review it.
	18	A. Okay.
	19	Q. I think you mentioned earlier that there
12:01	20	were you identified three reasons for
	21	out-of-cycle increases or three potential reasons
	22	for out-of-cycle increases: One was when an
	23	employee asks; the other was that as Lucasfilm
	24	looked at the job family, somebody was not placed
12:01	25	properly; and the third was a competing offer.

12:01	1	With that in mind, what what is what
	2	does Exhibit 354 appear to be to you?
	3	MR. PAIGE: Object to the form.
	4	THE WITNESS: That manager
12:02	5	is looking for us to look at his compensation
	6	because she's lost some other people in that
	7	department.
	8	MS. LEEBOVE: Q. Does it appear that
	9	there that had a competing offer at
12:02	10	the time that Gail Currey sent this message to you
	11	and others?
	12	A. I don't know.
	13	Q. And just backing up for a moment, do you
	14	have any reason to believe that these emails weren't
12:02	15	sent to and from the folks listed here, at the dates
	16	and times listed here as well?
	17	A. No.
	18	Q. Do you know whether the events described in
	19	this email happened around the time that Lucasfilm
12:02	20	was considering raising its percentage target for
	21	certain jobs from the
	22	A. I do not.
	23	Q for salaries?
	24	Was there a particular well, do you
12:03	25	understand what was happening at the time of this

Case 5:11-cv-02509-LHK Document 802-20 Filed 04/10/14 Page 22 of 30

Deposition of S	teven H. C	Condiotti In Re: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION
12:10	1	that has gone through a lot of change, which the
	2	Internet group, at the time, had.
	3	Q. Okay. And is that a review that you would
	4	typically do on an annual basis?
12:11	5	A. That is outside my sphere of knowledge.
	6	Q. Okay.
	7	THE WITNESS: Do you think we can take a
	8	break?
	9	MR. PAIGE: Yeah, can we take a quick
12:11	10	break?
	11	MS. LEEBOVE: Sure.
	12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of Video
	13	Number 2. The time is 12:11 p.m. We're going off
	14	the record.
12:20	15	(Recess taken.)
	16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning of
	17	Video Number 3 in the deposition of Steven
	18	Condiotti. The time is 12:20 p.m. We're back on
	19	the record.
12:21	20	MS. LEEBOVE: May I have this exhibit
	21	marked 2095, please.
	22	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2095
	23	marked for identification.)
	24	MS. LEEBOVE: Q. I want to start off, I
12:23	25	want to apologize to you because my copy of this

12:23	1	actually has the attachment, although I'm not
	2	certain that the attachment is relevant. Though if
	3	you need to see it, I'm happy to share my copy with
	4	you, Mr. Condiotti.
12:23	5	Do you recognize Exhibit 2095?
	6	A. I do not.
	7	Q. Do you have any reason to believe the
	8	emails weren't sent and received by the folks at the
	9	dates and times listed here?
12:23	10	A. I do not.
	11	Q. Okay. Do you understand what is this
	12	what are these email messages about that are
	13	reflected on Exhibit 2095?
	14	A. It is about the fact that Google has
12:24	15	is was adopting the same programming language
	16	that we use for our IS Tools and what effect that
	17	might have on our employee base.
	18	Q. And what effect might it have had on
	19	Lucasfilm's employee base that Google was adopting
12:24	20	the same programming language that Lucas used?
	21	A. That Google would probably start recruiting
	22	from our employees.
	23	Q. Why is that a concern?
	24	A. 'Cause at the time, Google was known to be
12:24	25	paying considerably more than we paid.

12:24	1	Q. And why was it a concern that Google was
	2	paying more than Lucasfilm was paying?
	3	A. Because for some people, compensation is
	4	the driving force in the reason to take a position.
12:24	5	For others, it's not. But it was a concern.
	6	Q. And was there a particular concern here
	7	with respect to
	8	A
	9	Q ?
12:25	10	A. He is our most senior at the time was
	11	our most senior Python programmer.
	12	Q. Python is the
	13	A. Language.
	14	Q is the language?
12:25	15	A. (Nonverbal response.)
	16	Q. Does still work for
	17	Lucasfilm?
	18	A. I believe he does.
	19	Q. And so do you know whether
12:25	20	was offered additional compensation in response to
	21	this potential threat from Google?
	22	A. I do not know.
	23	Q. Do you know whether Lori Gianino's
	24	employees' salary ranges were adjusted in response
12:26	25	to a potential recruiting threat from Google?

12:26	1	A. I do not know.
	2	Q. Was Lucasfilm willing to increase
	3	compensation in response to a
	4	perceived recruiting threat from Google?
12:26	5	A. I do not know the outcome of this
	6	particular situation.
	7	Q. Do you know whether received
	8	a job offer from Google?
	9	A. I do not know.
12:26	10	Q. Was there ever a concern that the fact that
	11	Google was beginning to use this Python language
	12	would end up driving up salaries at Lucasfilm?
	13	MR. PAIGE: Object to the form.
	14	THE WITNESS: Yes. There was some concern
12:27	15	that it could drive up the price of our Google
	16	programmers our Python programmers. But again,
	17	especially within the IS group, people come to
	18	Lucasfilm for the type of work that they'll be doing
	19	and the projects that they're involved in.
12:27	20	MS. LEEBOVE: Q. Did you ever decrease the
	21	salaries that Lucasfilm offered to folks in the IS
	22	department?
	23	MR. PAIGE: Object to the form.
	24	THE WITNESS: I we don't traditionally
12:27	25	decrease employees' salaries.

12:27	1	MS. LEEBOVE: Q. Why?
	2	A. I don't know of any company that decreases
	3	employees' salaries. It's not something most
	4	companies do.
12:27	5	Q. Would you expect that Lucasfilm would lose
	6	employees if Lucasfilm decreased their salaries?
	7	A. I believe that you know, I don't want to
	8	speculate there, but I would imagine so.
	9	Q. Do you think it's fair to say that if
12:28	10	competition for employees was eliminated, that this
	11	would allow Lucasfilm to stabilize employee
	12	salaries?
	13	MR. PAIGE: Object to the form.
	14	THE WITNESS: And, again, I would not want
12:28	15	to speculate one way or another on that.
	16	MS. LEEBOVE: Q. If competition for
	17	employees can drive up their salaries, does a lack
	18	of competition suppress their salaries?
	19	MR. PAIGE: Object to the form.
12:29	20	THE WITNESS: I do not want to speculate on
	21	that.
	22	MS. LEEBOVE: Q. Had Google not been
	23	competing for, would Lucasfilm have
	24	independently sought to increase his salary?
12:29	25	MR. PAIGE: Object to the form.

02:01	1	designer is different than project leader, as well
	2	as at this particular point in time, the lead
	3	designer position was a hot commodity. And
	4	sometimes there are certain positions within a
02:01	5	production that you need to pay slightly more than
	6	others for.
	7	MS. LEEBOVE: Q. Are you aware of any
	8	instances where a project lead is making less money
	9	than one of his or her reports on a project?
02:02	10	A. I do not know the compensation of all the
	11	project leads and their reports.
	12	Q. Have you ever approved a salary increase
	13	for a project lead whose reports on the project are
	14	making more money than he or she is, just for the
02:02	15	reason that the reports are making more money than
	16	the project lead?
	17	A. I don't recall.
	18	Q. When you say you don't recall, do you not
	19	recall that it didn't happen or you don't recall one
02:02	20	way or the other?
	21	A. I don't I don't recall, you know, an
	22	example that I can state.
	23	Q. Okay. I think you or you should still
	24	have in front of you Exhibit 2088.
02:03	25	A. Okay.

02:03	1	Q. And I'm not sure that I asked you this
	2	question, but your counsel probably will remember:
	3	You state in the second-to-last sentence of the
	4	second paragraph:
02:04	5	"We have plenty of people all over
	6	finance with more experience and more
	7	responsibility, making at or near the
	8	salary you're suggesting for . And,
	9	frankly, giving her that money will cause
02:04	10	all sorts of internal equity issues."
	11	What did you mean by that sentence?
	12	A. I meant that there are people whose job
	13	responsibilities in finance were similar to
	14	, and we wanted again, didn't want to get
02:04	15	our salary for her to be different than where we
	16	were with other people. And finance is the one
	17	place where people do see salary information.
	18	Q. Oh, I see. So you would be concerned about
	19	her colleagues seeing how you would be concerned
02:04	20	about colleagues being able to see how much
	21	was making relative to their salaries?
	22	A. Correct.
	23	Q. Okay. What did you mean by the next
	24	sentence, specifically after the comma:
02:05	25	"Though I don't believe the new number

02:05	1	you suggest would put enough distance
	2	between the two"?
	3	A. Well, when you looked at the job
	4	responsibilities between, who was the junior
02:05	5	person in the department, and, who was the
	6	mid-level person in the department, you want to pay
	7	for skill level; and I did not believe, nor do I do
	8	today, that she had the skills to get up to this
	9	request that they were asking for. That's why we
02:05	10	didn't go there. As well as looking at what
	11	skills were, and others like him, and
	12	saying, okay, if that's what market is for those
	13	skills, we should we want to keep a band of
	14	distance between them.
02:05	15	Q. Does the Lucasfilm salary structure
	16	generally keep a band of difference between job
	17	levels in the same job family?
	18	A. In job job levels in the same job family
	19	usually have a different grade. So yes, there is a
02:06	20	band difference. But of course, within a within
	21	a grade, there is a very large width where someone's
	22	salary can live within that grade.
	23	Q. Do you have a sense of how many Lucasfilm
	24	employees' salaries fall within the low end to
02:06	25	midpoint of the salary range for their position?

1 REPORTER CERTIFICATE 2 I hereby certify that STEVEN H. CONDICTII 3 was by me duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the 4 5 within-entitled cause; that said deposition was 6 taken at the time and place herein named; that the 7 deposition is a true record of the witness's testimony as reported to the best of my ability by 8 me, a duly certified shorthand reporter and a 10 disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting by computer; 11 12 that request [XX] was [] was not made to read and 13 correct said deposition. I further certify that I am not interested 14 in the outcome of said action, nor connected with, 15 16 nor related to any of the parties in said action, 17 nor to their respective counsel. 18 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 1st day of April, 2013. 19 20

21

22 HOLLY MOOSE, CSR NO. 6438

23

24

25